

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/705,450	TURNER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert C. Scheibel	2666

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Robert C. Scheibel.

(3) _____.

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

"Hashed and Hierarchical Timing Wheels: Efficient Data Structures for Implementing a Timer Facility" by George Varghese and Anthony Lauck.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner outlined an obviousness rejection of claims 7 and 8 using the Varghese reference. Applicant agreed to change the dependency of claims 7 and 8 back to claim 6 (as in the original filing).

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)